Business cycle facts
Real Business Cycle (RBC) model

Advanced Macroeconomics QF: Lecture 9

Marcin Bielecki

Fall 2018

University of Warsaw

US real per capita GDP (2009 dollars)

US real per capita GDP, log scale

US real per capita GDP, year-over-year change (%)

- Between 1947 and 2017 per capita US GDP
  grew on average at around 2% annually
- There is substantial variation in GDP growth rate over time
- Recessions and expansions differ in size, length and frequency
- We would like to separate the trend (growth theory)
  from cycle (business cycle theory)

US real per capita GDP, exponential trend

US real per capita GDP, exponential trend residual (%)

US real per capita GDP, Hodrick-Prescott trend

US real per capita GDP, Hodrick-Prescott residual (%)

US real per capita GDP, Christiano-Fitzgerald residual (%)

Trend vs cycle

- Most often used filter is the Hodrick-Prescott filter
- Christiano-Fitzgerald filter exhibits similar dynamics,
  but the cyclical component is "smooth" – better for visualization

Business cycle facts: USA 1948Q1-2018Q1

- Consumption is coincident, procyclical and less volatile than output
- Investment is coincident, procyclical and more volatile than output
- Price level can be procyclical or countercyclical
- Productivity and TFP are both procyclical and leading output
- Hours are just as volatile as output with a 1-2 quarters lag
- Real wage is procyclical when price level is countercyclical and countercyclical when price level is procyclical
- Capital stock is procyclical, mildly volatile and lags output
- Real interest rates are acyclical and the least volatile There are potentially large errors in this measurement of r

Business cycle facts: USA 1948Q1-2018Q1

		Std. Dev.	Rel. S. D.	Corr. w. y	Autocorr.
Output	y	1.60	1.00	1.00	0.85
Consumption	c	0.86	0.54	0.76	0.83
Investment	i	4.54	2.83	0.79	0.87
Capital	k	0.57	0.36	0.36	0.97
Hours	h	1.60	1.00	0.81	0.90
Wages	w	0.84	0.52	0.10	0.65
Interest rate	r	0.39	0.25	-0.01	0.40
TFP	z	1.00	0.62	0.67	0.71
Productivity	$\frac{y}{h}$	1.30	0.81	0.51	0.65
Price level	P	0.89	0.55	-0.15	0.91

DSGE models

- Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) models aim to replicate business cycle behavior of real-world economies
  - Dynamic: forward-looking behavior of agents
  - Stochastic: the economy is subject to shocks
  - GE: what happens in one market influences other markets
- We can generate quantitative predictions on short-term movements of macroeconomic variables and compare them with the data
- We use those models to
  - Simulate counterfactual scenarios
  - Explain past developments (historical decomposition)
  - Construct forecasts (conditional and uncoditional)
  - Perform policy experiments
- Very active research on the frontier, but well established methods

Method

- All DSGE models are microfounded
- Usual setup
  - Households maximize utility subject to budget constraint
  - Firms maximize profits subject to technology
  - Markets clear
- Derive first order conditions for optimum
- Solve the system
- Check for stability
- Set parameters (calibration or estimation)
- Evaluate model's empirical performance
- Use the model to perform analyses of your choice

Basic Real Business Cycle model

- Ramsey model with endogenous labor supply
  and stochastic "technology" shocks
- Closed economy with no government
- Perfect competition
- Single final good with price normalized to 1 – all other prices are real
- Two groups of representative agents
  - Households
  - Firms
- Rational expectations – agents make no systematic forecast errors
- Despite simplicity and "unrealistic" assumptions,
  surprisingly good empirical performance

Households' problem

A representative household solves expected utility maximization problem

$$\max \quad U_0 = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\log c_t + \phi \log \left(1 - h_t \right) \right) \right]$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad a_{t+1} + c_t = \left(1 + r_t \right) a_t + w_t h_t + div_t$$

where

β	discount factor
c	per capita consumption
ϕ	relative preference for leisure
h	per capita hours (as fraction of total available time)
a	per capita assets (physical capital)
r	real interest rate
w	real wage per hour
div	per capita dividends

Households' solution I

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t E_0 \left[\log c_t + \phi \log (1 - h_t) \right]$$
$$+ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t E_0 \left[\lambda_t \left[(1 + r_t) a_t + w_t h_t + div_t - a_{t+1} - c_t \right] \right]$$

First Order Conditions

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t E_0 \left[\frac{1}{c_t} \right] - \beta^t E_0 [\lambda_t] = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \lambda_t = \frac{1}{c_t}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_t} = \beta^t \cdot E_0 \left[-\frac{\phi}{1 - h_t} \right] + \beta^t E_0 [\lambda_t w_t] = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \lambda_t = \frac{\phi}{w_t (1 - h_t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_{t+1}} = -E_0 [\lambda_t] + \beta E_0 [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1})] = 0$$

$$\longrightarrow \quad \lambda_t = \beta E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1})]$$

Households' solution II

First Order Conditions

$$c_t \quad : \quad \lambda_t = \frac{1}{c_t}$$

$$h_t \quad : \quad \lambda_t = \frac{\phi}{w_t (1 - h_t)}$$

$$a_{t+1} \quad : \quad \lambda_t = \beta E_t \left[\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1}) \right]$$

Resulting

Intertemporal condition $(c + a)$: $\quad \dfrac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left[\dfrac{1}{c_{t+1}} (1 + r_{t+1}) \right]$

Intratemporal condition $(c + h)$: $\quad \dfrac{1}{c_t} = \dfrac{\phi}{w_t (1 - h_t)}$

$$\longrightarrow \quad h_t = 1 - \phi \frac{c_t}{w_t}$$

Firms' problem

A representative firm solves profit (dividend) maximization problem

$$\max \quad div_t = y_t - r_t^k k_t - w_t h_t$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad y_t = z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{1-\alpha}$$
$$r_t^k = r_t + \delta$$

where

div	per capita dividends
y	per capita output
r^k	capital rental rate
k	per capita physical capital stock
w	real wage per hour
h	per capita hours (as fraction of total available time)
z	stochastic total factor productivity (TFP) level
α	physical capital share in output
r	real interest rate
δ	physical capital depreciation rate

Firms' solution

Rewritten problem

$$\max \quad div_t = z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{1-\alpha} - (r_t + \delta) k_t - w_t h_t$$

First Order Conditions

$$\frac{\partial div_t}{\partial k_t} = \alpha z_t k_t^{\alpha-1} h_t^{1-\alpha} - (r_t + \delta) = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad r_t = \alpha z_t k_t^{\alpha-1} h_t^{1-\alpha} - \delta$$

$$\frac{\partial div_t}{\partial h_t} = (1-\alpha) z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{-\alpha} - w_t = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad w_t = (1-\alpha) z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{-\alpha}$$

Alternative expressions for factor prices

$$r_t = \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_t} - \delta$$

$$w_t = (1-\alpha) \frac{y_t}{h_t}$$

Due to perfect competition economic profits equal zero

$$div_t = y_t - r_t^k k_t - w_t h_t = y_t - \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_t} \cdot k_t - (1-\alpha) \frac{y_t}{h_t} \cdot h_t = 0$$

21

General equilibrium

Capital market clears

$$a_t = k_t$$

Households' budget constraint can be written as resource constraint

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{t+1} + c_t &= (1 + r_t) a_t + w_t h_t + div_t \\
k_{t+1} + c_t &= \left(1 + \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_t} - \delta\right) k_t + (1 - \alpha) \frac{y_t}{h_t} \cdot h_t + 0 \\
k_{t+1} + c_t &= \alpha y_t + (1 - \delta) k_t + (1 - \alpha) y_t \\
k_{t+1} + c_t &= y_t + (1 - \delta) k_t
\end{aligned}
$$

If we define investment

$$i_t = k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta) k_t$$

We can rewrite the resource constraint as the GDP accounting equation

$$y_t = c_t + i_t$$

Stochastic total factor productivity

TFP evolves according to an AR(1) process (in logs)

$$\log z_t = \rho_z \log z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where $\rho_z < 1$ regulates shock persistence and ε is zero-mean white noise

It is often assumed that $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_z^2\right)$

In the absence of shocks $\log z \rightarrow 0$ and $z \rightarrow 1$

Full set of equilibrium conditions

System of 8 equations and 8 unknowns: $\{y, c, i, k, h, w, r, z\}$

$$\text{Euler equation} \quad : \quad \frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left[\frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \left(1 + r_{t+1} \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Consumption-hours choice} \quad : \quad h_t = 1 - \phi \frac{c_t}{w_t} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Production function} \quad : \quad y_t = z_t k_t^\alpha h_t^{1-\alpha} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Real interest rate} \quad : \quad r_t = \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_t} - \delta \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Real hourly wage} \quad : \quad w_t = (1 - \alpha) \frac{y_t}{h_t} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Investment} \quad : \quad i_t = k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta) k_t \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Output accounting} \quad : \quad y_t = c_t + i_t \quad (7)$$

$$\text{TFP AR(1) process} \quad : \quad \log z_t = \rho_z \log z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (8)$$

The first equation can also be written as $1 = \beta E_t \left[\frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}} \left(1 + r_{t+1} \right) \right]$
but not as $E_t \left[c_{t+1} \right] = \beta E_t \left[c_t \left(1 + r_{t+1} \right) \right]$

24

Steady state: closed form solution

Start with the Euler equation

$$\frac{1}{c} = \beta \frac{1}{c}(1+r) \quad \longrightarrow \quad r = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$$

From the interest rate equation obtain the k/h ratio

$$r = \alpha k^{\alpha-1} h^{1-\alpha} - \delta \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{k}{h} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

From the production function obtain the y/h ratio and use it to get wage

$$y = k^\alpha h^{1-\alpha} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{y}{h} = \left(\frac{k}{h}\right)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad w = (1-\alpha)\frac{y}{h}$$

From investment and output accounting equations obtain the c/h ratio

$$i = \delta k \quad \longrightarrow \quad y = c + \delta k \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{c}{h} = \frac{y}{h} - \delta \frac{k}{h}$$

Get h from the consumption-hours choice. The rest follows from h

$$h = 1 - \phi\frac{c}{w} \quad \longrightarrow \quad 1 = \frac{1}{h} - \phi\frac{c}{h}\frac{1}{w} \quad \longrightarrow \quad h = 1 / \left[1 + \phi\frac{c}{h}\frac{1}{w}\right]$$

Transition dynamics

- Our model is a system of non-linear difference equations
- There exist no closed form solutions for the transitional dynamics except for few very special (and uninteresting) cases
- We can solve quite easily an approximated version of the system
  - (log-)linearize by hand
  - let Dynare compute n-th order Taylor expansion
- Solving the DSGE model involves transforming the forward looking system into a VAR (backward looking) system
  - Many good methods: Blanchard-Kahn, Klein, Sims, etc.
- Computer software exists that does it for you
- This is possible thanks to the Rational Expectations assumption

Parameters

- We need to specify parameter values
- There is a variety of approaches on how to obtain those values
- Two most widely used are
    - **Calibration** – picking parameter values to fit certain long-run (average) features of data. For example, we might want to pick the parameters so that the model's investment share in GDP matches the average share in the data
    - **Estimation** – Dynare allows us to easily run a Bayesian estimation procedure on real data. It still needs as an input prior estimates of parameter values and their confidence intervals, which makes the calibration exercise very useful
- Most models in recent papers are estimated
- Today's toy model is calibrated

Parameter values

The following parameter values are standard in the literature

	Value justification	Mean	Conf. int.
α	Capital income share of GDP	0.33	± 0.05
β	From average real interest rate	0.99	± 0.005
δ	From investment share of GDP	0.025	± 0.05
ϕ	Work for $1/3$ of time endowment	1.75	± 0.05
ρ_z	Coefficient in TFP AR(1) regression	0.97	± 0.02
σ_z	Error term in TFP AR(1) regression	0.007	± 0.005

I am going to use $\rho_z = 0.9622$ from our estimation
and $\sigma_z = 0.00853$ to match the standard deviation of output in the data

Model evaluation

- Usually we match the behavior of model variables to real-world variables at quarterly (sometimes monthly, rarely annual) frequency
- To compare models with data we use
  - Moment matching
  - Impulse response functions matching
- Today we will use moment matching

Model impulse response functions

Percent deviations from steady state values (for r percentage points)

Model vs data comparison

|		Std. Dev.		Corr. w. y		Autocorr.	
		Data	Model	Data	Model	Data	Model
Output	y	1.60	1.60	1.00	1.00	0.85	0.72
Consumption	c	0.86	0.57	0.76	0.92	0.83	0.80
Investment	i	4.54	5.14	0.79	0.99	0.87	0.71
Capital	k	0.57	0.46	0.36	0.08	0.97	0.96
Hours	h	**1.60**	**0.73**	0.81	0.98	0.90	0.71
Wage	w	0.84	0.73	**0.10**	**0.99**	0.65	0.75
Interest rate	r	0.39	0.06	**-0.01**	**0.96**	0.40	0.71
TFP	z	1.00	1.15	**0.67**	**1.00**	0.71	0.72
Productivity	$\frac{y}{h}$	1.30	0.95	**0.51**	**0.99**	0.65	0.75

Model vs data comparison: consumption

Model vs data comparison: investment

Model vs data comparison: hours

Model vs data comparison

- Model performance is quite good – it was a big surprise in the 1980s!
- There are some problems with it though
  - In the data, hours are just as volatile as output
  - In the model, hours are less than half as volatile as output
  - In the data, real wage can be either pro- or countercyclical
  - In the model, real wage is strongly procyclical
  - In the data TFP and productivity are mildly correlated with output
  - In the model both are 1:1 correlated with output
- These results suggest that
  - We need to focus more on labor market
    – should improve behavior of hours and real wage
  - Need some room for nominal variables
  - More shocks than just TFP are needed
- This is what we are going to do over the next lectures