

Mathematical Statistics

Anna Janicka

Lecture VII, 1.04.2019

ESTIMATOR PROPERTIES, PART III

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS – INTRO

Plan for Today

1. Asymptotic properties of estimators – cont.
 - asymptotic normality
 - asymptotic efficiency
2. Consistency, asymptotic normality and asymptotic efficiency of MLE estimators
3. Interval estimation – confidence intervals



Asymptotic normality

$\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is an **asymptotically normal** estimator of $g(\theta)$, if for any $\theta \in \Theta$ there exists $\sigma^2(\theta)$ such that, when $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$$

Convergence in distribution, i.e. for any a

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\theta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma(\theta)} (\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - g(\theta)) \leq a \right) = \Phi(a)$$

in other words, the distribution of $\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is for large n similar to $N(g(\theta), \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$



Asymptotic normality – properties

- An asymptotically normal estimator is consistent (not necessarily strongly).
- A *similar* condition to unbiasedness – the expected value of the asymptotic distribution equals $g(\theta)$ (but the estimator *does not need to be unbiased*).
- **Asymptotic variance** defined as $\sigma^2(\theta)$
or $\sigma^2(\theta) / n$ – the variance of the asymptotic distribution



Asymptotic normality – what it is not

- For an asymptotically normal estimator we usually have:

$$E_{\theta} \hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\theta)$$

$$n \text{ var } \hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^2(\theta)$$

but these properties needn't hold, because convergence in distribution does not imply convergence of moments.



Asymptotic normality – example

- Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be an IID sample from a distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . On the base of the CLT, for the sample mean we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

In this case the asymptotic variance, σ^2/n , is equal to the estimator variance.



Asymptotic normality – how to prove it

In many cases, the following is useful:

Delta Method. Let T_n be a sequence of random variables such that for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function differentiable at point μ such that $h'(\mu) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(h(T_n) - h(\mu)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2 (h'(\mu))^2)$$

μ, σ^2 are functions of θ

usually used when estimators are functions of statistics T_n , which can be easily shown to converge on the base of CLT



Asymptotic normality – examples cont.

In an exponential model: $MLE(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$

From CLT, we get

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1}{\lambda^2})$$

so from the Delta Method for $h(t)=1/t$:

$$\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \lambda) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \cdot (-\frac{1}{(1/\lambda)^2})^2)$$

so $\frac{1}{\bar{X}}$ is an asymptotically normal (and consistent) estimator of λ .



Asymptotic efficiency

For an asymptotically normal estimator

$\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ of $g(\theta)$ we define **asymptotic efficiency** as

$$\text{as.ef}(\hat{g}) = \frac{(g'(\theta))^2 n}{\sigma^2(\theta) \cdot I_n(\theta)},$$

where $\sigma^2(\theta)/n$ is the asymptotic variance, i.e. for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$$

modification of the definition of efficiency
to the limit case, with the asymptotic
variance in place of the normal variance

$$\text{as.ef}(\hat{g}) = \frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{\sigma^2(\theta) \cdot I_1(\theta)}$$



Relative asymptotic efficiency

Relative asymptotic efficiency for asymptotically normal estimators

$\hat{g}_1(X)$ and $\hat{g}_2(X)$

$$\text{as.ef}(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2) = \frac{\sigma_2^2(\theta)}{\sigma_1^2(\theta)} = \frac{\text{as.ef}(\hat{g}_1)}{\text{as.ef}(\hat{g}_2)}$$

Note. A less (asymptotically) efficient estimator may have other properties, which will make it preferable to a more efficient one.



Relative asymptotic efficiency – examples.

Is the mean better than the median?

Depends on the distribution!

a) normal model $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{as.ef}(\hat{m}, \bar{X}) = \frac{2}{\pi} < 1$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{m} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2})$$

b) Laplace model $\text{Lapl}(\mu, \lambda)$

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{2}{\lambda^2}) \quad \text{as.ef}(\hat{m}, \bar{X}) = 2 > 1$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{m} - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1}{\lambda^2})$$

c) some distributions do not have a mean...

Theorem: For a sample from a continuous distribution with density $f(x)$, the sample median is an asymptotically normal estimator for the median m

(provided the density is continuous and $\neq 0$ at point m):

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{m} - m) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1}{4(f(m))^2})$$

Consistency of ML estimators

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be a sample from a distribution with density $f_\theta(x)$. If $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open set, and:

- all densities f_θ have the same support;
- the equation $\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(\theta) = 0$ has exactly one solution, $\hat{\theta}$.

Then $\hat{\theta}$ is the $MLE(\theta)$ and it is consistent

Note. MLE estimators do not have to be unbiased!



Asymptotic normality of ML estimators

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be a sample with density $f_\theta(x)$, such that $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open, and $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent m.l.e. (for example, fulfills the assumptions of the previous theorem), and

- $\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln L(\theta)$ exists
- Fisher Information may be calculated, $0 < I_1(\theta) < \infty$
- the order of integration with respect to x and derivation with respect to θ may be changed

then $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically normal and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$



Asymptotic normality of ML estimators

Additionally, if $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function differentiable at point θ , such that $g'(\theta) \neq 0$, and $\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is $MLE(g(\theta))$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$



Asymptotic efficiency of ML estimators

If the assumptions of the previous theorems are fulfilled, then the ML estimator (of θ or $g(\theta)$) is asymptotically efficient.



Asymptotic normality and efficiency of ML estimators – examples

- In the normal model: the mean is an asymptotically efficient estimator of μ
- In the Laplace model: the median is an asymptotically efficient estimator of μ

Summary: basic (point) estimator properties

- bias
- variance
- MSE
- efficiency

- consistency
- asymptotic normality
- asymptotic efficiency



Interval estimation – confidence intervals

- We do not provide a single value estimate, but rather a lower and an upper bound for the estimate (the true value will fit into these bounds with given probability)
- We estimate with given precision



Confidence interval

Let $g(\theta)$ be a function of unknown parameter θ , and let $\bar{g} = \bar{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ and $\underline{g} = \underline{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be statistics

Then, $[\underline{g}, \bar{g}]$ is a **confidence interval** for $g(\theta)$ with a confidence level $1-\alpha$, if for any θ

$$P_{\theta}(\underline{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq g(\theta) \leq \bar{g}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)) \geq 1 - \alpha$$



Confidence intervals – use and interpretation

- Typically: α is a small number, for example $1-\alpha = 0,95$ or $1-\alpha = 0,99$
- The condition from the definition means: the random interval $[\underline{g}, \bar{g}]$ includes the unknown value $g(\theta)$ with given (high) probability.
- If we calculate the *realization* of the confidence interval (e.g. $\underline{g} = 1, \bar{g} = 3$) then we CAN'T say that the unknown parameter is included in the range with probability $1-\alpha$ anymore!

the parameter is either in the interval or not – the event is not random, it is just something we don't know.



Confidence intervals – construction

- The confidence interval depends on the underlying probability distribution
- Usually, normal samples are considered (the distribution most frequently observed in nature)



Confidence intervals – construction cont.

- Convenient method: we look for random variables which depend on sample data and parameter values, but whose *distributions* do not depend on unknown parameters (pivotal method)
- If $U = U(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \theta)$ is such a function, then we look for confidence intervals $[a, b]$ such that

$$P_{\theta}(a \leq U \leq b) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

- Usually we look for „symmetric” CI

$$P_{\theta}(U < a) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad P_{\theta}(U > b) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$$





WARSAW UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Economic Sciences