# Econometrics exam 2018-06-16

### **EXAM RULES**

- 1. Exam takes 90 min.
- 2. This exam is a closed book exam.
- 3. Everybody is required to sign on the list.
- 4. The solution of exercise should be written on the sheet on which the exercise was printed or on the additional sheets on the back of the exam.
- 5. All the pages with solutions should be signed. If additional sheet is used it is very important to put the number of the exercise on the top of it.
- 6. Only one exercise should be solved on one sheet.
- 7. The minimum to obtain the pass grade is to get 50% from theoretical questions and 50% from exercises.

Warsaw, 2018-06-16,	
,	signature

Good luck!

Econometrics Exam 2018-06-16

## Theoretical questions

 $1.\ \,$  Derive OLS estimator for model with multiple explanatory variables.

2. Proof, that in CLRM estimator **b** is unbiased.

- 3. What test can be used to test for homoscedasticity? Give H0 and H1. How it is connected with CLRM assumptions?
- 4. Show that  $s^2$  is unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ ?

Exam 2018-06-16

## Exercise 1.

Consider the following multiple-regression model

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \varepsilon_i$$

where 
$$x_{3i} = -(2x_{2i} + 1)$$

- 1. Using the method of Ordinary Least Squares is it possible to obtain estimates of all of the unknown parameters  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ ? Why or why not?
- 2. If not, what linear functions of these unknown parameters may be obtained? Explain

#### Exercise 2.

The median housing price was analysed. Dependent variable: logarithm median housing price was analysed (lprice). Explanatory variables: logarithm of nitrous oxide, parts per 100 mill. (lnox), logarithm of nitrous oxide, parts per 100 mill. squared (lnox2), crimes committed per capita (crime), avg number of rooms per house (rooms), avg number of rooms per house squared (rooms2), average student-teacher ratio (stratio).

	Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs =	506
-	+-						F(6, 499) =	174.41
	Model	57.2717626	6	9.545	529377		Prob > F =	0.0000
	Residual	27.3105083	499	.0547	730478		R-squared =	0.6771
	+-						Adj R-squared =	0.6732
	Total	84.5822709	505	. 1674	189645		Root MSE =	.23395
	lprice	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. In	terval]
	+-							
	lnox	2.438683	.9022	435	2.70	0.007	.6660183 4	.211347
	Inow I	_ 9//550	2507	000	_2 OF	0 001	_1 25/90/ _	22/21/2

	2.10000					
lnox2	844559	.2597023	-3.25	0.001	-1.354804	3343143
crime	0142473	.0013869	-10.27	0.000	0169722	0115225
rooms	7839091	.1467913	-5.34	0.000	-1.072314	4955039
rooms2	.0799621	.0113477	7.05	0.000	.0576669	.1022572
stratio	0413852	.0057902	-7.15	0.000	0527614	030009
_cons	10.8118	.8937578	12.10	0.000	9.055804	12.56779

Variable	1	VIF	1/VIF
lnox	İ	304.70	0.003282
lnox2	1	302.87	0.003302
rooms		98.15	0.010189
rooms2	1	97.93	0.010211
stratio	1	1.45	0.689131
crime	1	1.31	0.763569
	+-		
Mean VIF	Ι	134.40	

Ramsey RESET test F(3, 496)=7.28, Prob>F=0.0001 Jarque-Berr'a test chi2(2)=69.89, Prob>chi2=0.0000 Breusch-Pagan test chi2(1)=84.55, Prob>chi2=0.0000

- 1. Are the explanatory variables jointly significant?
- 2. Give an interpretation to coefficient of determination  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- 3. Verify which explanatory variables are statistically significant.
- 4. Interpret coefficients for crime and stratio.
- 5. Calculate and interpret elasticity for nox = 10.
- 6. Calculate and interpret semi-elasticity for rooms = 2.
- 7. Interpret VIF test results.
- 8. Is the heteroscedasticity problem present in the estimated model?
- 9. Is the error term normally distributed in the estimated model?
- 10. Check whether the linear functional form of the model is valid.

Exam 2018-06-16

- 11. If estimated model does not satisfy the assumptions of CLRM explain:
  - (a) which assumptions of CLRM are invalid,
  - (b) what consequences does it have on statistical inference for this model,
  - (c) how the problems indicated by diagnostic tests could be solved.

#### Exercise 3.

Using a sample of 1801 individuals, the following earnings equation has been estimated:

$$\widehat{ln(earnings)} = \underset{(0.14)}{\widehat{(0.14)}} + \underset{(0.01)}{\underbrace{0.15}} \underbrace{educ} + \underset{(0.01)}{\underbrace{0.05}} \underbrace{experience} - \underset{(0.04)}{\underbrace{0.21}} \underbrace{female} \\ R^2 = 0.17, \, RSS = 100$$

where the standard errors are reported in brackets.

- 1. Interpret the coefficient estimate on female.
- 2. Test the hypothesis that there is no difference in expected earnings between women and men. Test this hypothesis against a two-sided alternative, using the 5% signicance level. You must write down: (i) the null and alternative hypotheses; (ii) the test statistic; (iii) the rejection rule.
- 3. Dropping experience and female from the equation gives:

$$ln(\widehat{earnings}) = 6.71 + 0.16 educ$$
  
 $R^2 = 0.11, RSS = 140$ 

Are experience and female jointly signicant in the original equation at the 5% signicance level? You must write down: (i) the null and alternative hypotheses; (ii) the test statistic; (iii) the rejection rule.

Hint: 
$$F_{1,1801} = 3.81$$
,  $F_{1,1797} = 3.86$ ,  $F_{2,1801} = 3.01$ ,  $F_{2,1797} = 3.51$ 

Econometrics Exam 2018-06-16

Notes